

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,257 01/31/2001		01/31/2001	Athanassios Tolis	FRD-046 (3902/45) 4326	
51414	7590	08/25/2005		EXAMINER	
		CTER LLP	MOONEYHAM, JANICE A		
EXCHAN		STRATOR CE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON	, MA 02	2109-2881	3629		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/773,257	TOLIS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Janice A. Mooneyham	3629

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Janice A. Mooneyham	3629						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 			explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	1	NIL						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·	INUM G. WEISS						
Claim(s) rejected to:	CIIDER!	UISORY PATENT EXA	MINER					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	TFC	HNOLOGY CENTER 3	600					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								

The applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that when a reservation request is from one of the central reservation provider or one of the individual service providers is available from that provider, the reservation is made by that provider without communicating with the other of the central reservation provider or one of the individual service providers.

Claim 7 has been amended to identify the blocks as time consecutive blocks.

These new limitations will require further consideration and a new search.